		~ ·	٠ حرب م		•	
Case 1:07-cv-07683-RJS	S-JCF Do	ocument 14	Filed	12/17/2007	Capersi) (JC	F)
Case 1:07-cv-07683-RJS UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF	CT COURT F NEW YO	rk De		ETIN	ALL LISTO	Eİ
STEPHEN JUSICK, et al.,			x	CASE MA	NAGEMENT .	
	Plaintiffs,			<u>ORDER</u>		
-versus-				07 CV 768	3 (RJS)(JCF)	
THE CITY OF NEW YORK,	et al.,			USDC S!	ONY	1
	Defendants.		Y	DOCUM	1	
THEO RIGBY,			A	DOC #:	·	
	Plaintiff,			DATE FI	LED: 12/17/07	
-versus-				07 CV 775	1 (RJS)(JCF)	
THE CITY OF NEW YORK,	et al.,					
	Defendants.					
ELIJAH MANDERS, et al.,			Х			
	Plaintiffs,					
-versus-				07 CV 775	2 (RJS)(JCF)	
THE CITY OF NEW YORK,	et al.,					
	Defendants.					
			X			

Pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court hereby enters its Case Management Order governing the foregoing cases. These cases arise from, *inter alia*, the arrest and detention of plaintiffs by the New York City Police Department around the time of the Republican National Convention in New York City in late August and early September 2004 ("RNC Cases").

In these cases, the parties anticipate that issue will be joined shortly and that all of the material allegations of the complaints will be denied. Defendants have stipulated to the following terms at this time to permit plaintiffs the opportunity to participate in the consolidated discovery currently underway in the related RNC Cases.

In addition to this order, the parties agree to be bound by Discovery Order #1 (which provides for the consolidated depositions of certain defense witnesses); Discovery Order #2 (which provides for the scheduling of party depositions); Protective Order #1 (which provides for the confidential treatment of certain discovery materials) and all other protective orders entered in the consolidated RNC cases.

The Court is advised that one or both parties do not consent to trial of this case by magistrate judge. The parties are to conduct discovery in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the Southern District of New York. All motions and applications shall be governed by the Court's Individual Practices, including pre-motion conference requirements. This order may be modified only by agreement of the parties, subject to the Court's approval, or upon a showing of good cause.

	The parties have agreed to dispense with initial disclosures and will commence discovery as described below.
12/17/2007	All written discovery requests, including document requests and interrogatories, shall have been served, except as provided below.
2/15/2008	All depositions of fact witnesses shall have been noticed. With respect to both fact and expert witnesses, unless the noticing party assents or the

PLEADINGS & DISCOVERY

DATE DUE

4/1/2008

to both fact and expert witnesses, unless the noticing party assents or the court orders otherwise, depositions of particular witnesses are not to be held until the party producing the witness has responded to any outstanding interrogatories and requests for documents pertaining to that witness. Once a party has completed the deposition of a witness, that party shall not later seek to re-depose that witness absent good cause.

All fact discovery for plaintiffs and defendants shall have been completed.

Case 1:07-cv-076	83-RJS-JCF	Document 14	Filed 12/17/2007	Page 3 of 4				
4 \$/1/2008	Plaintiffs sha	all identify their exp	pert witnesses for tria	l and provide the				
	disclosures contemplated by the federal rules.							
4/30 6472008 4/30 6472008	Depositions of plaintiffs' trial experts shall be completed.							
5/172008 5/17008	Defendants shall identify their expert witnesses for trial and provide the disclosures contemplated by the federal rules.							
7/1/2008	Depositions of defendants' trial experts shall be completed.							
5/30 24/2008 6/30 2172008 7/31 2472008 8/15/08	All contention interrogatories and requests to admit shall be served.							
9A72008	All responses due to contention interrogatories and requests to admit.							
8/15/08	Counsel for the parties have discussed holding a settlement confere before a Magistrate Judge. The parties do not request a settlem conference before a Magistrate Judge at this time.							
	Program. T	-	cussed the use of the Gequest that the case b					
		_	scussed the use of a privately re	•				
8/29	<u>DISPOSITI</u>	<u>VE MOTIONS</u>						
10/1 /2008	the undersign	ed's Individual Prac	e been served in <u>Mand</u> tices, the parties shall east four weeks prior to	request a pre-				
10/15/2008	undersigned's	s Individual Practice	e heen served in Rights, the parties shall require weeks prior to this de	uest a pre-motion				
11/3/2008	undersigned's	s Individual Practice	been served in Jusick s, the parties shall req weeks prior to this de	uest a pre-motion				
9 3 0 11 <i>12</i> 72008	Oppositions of	lue to all dispositive	motions in Manders	•				
11/17/2008	Oppositions d	lue to all dispositive	motions in Rigby.					

Oppositions due to all dispositive motions in Jusick

12/1/2008

Case 1:07-cv-07683-RJS-JCF Document 14 Filed 12/17/2007 Page 4 of 4

Replics, if any, due to all dispositive motions in Manders.

12/15/2008 Replies, if any, due to all dispositive motions in Rigby.

12/29/2008 Replies, if any, due to all dispositive motions in Jusiek.

Within 30 days of the Court's ruling on dispositive motions

Should any part of the case remain after the Court's ruling on dispositive motions, a Pre-Trial Conference with the Court shall be held. Prior to that conference, the parties shall consult and submit to the Court a Joint Pretrial Order prepared in accordance with the trial judge's Individual Practices and Rule 26(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. If this action is to be tried before a jury, proposed *voir dire*, jury instructions and a verdict form shall be filed with the Joint Pretrial Order. Counsel are required to meet and confer on the jury instructions and verdict form in an effort to make an agreed upon submission.

The parties have conferred and their present best estimate of the length of trial of each case is approximately 2-3 weeks.

SO ORDERED

DATED: New York, New York

December 17, 2007

James C. Francis IV
United States Magistrate Judge